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Abstract. We present examples of how chemical evolution can exhibit sensitivity to mixing arising from stratosphere–troposphere exchange. A chemical transport model is used to survey the chemical contrasts on isentropic surfaces that intersect the tropopause. Significant cross-tropopause gradients in both ozone and water vapor are shown to exist between 300 and 340 K. Back trajectories are used to confirm that air parcels with widely varying chemical properties are rapidly brought together in a typical quasi-isentropic stratosphere–troposphere exchange event. A two-box model is used to investigate the chemical evolution of stratospheric and tropospheric air parcels and to determine the effect of mixing between them. Mixing of stratospheric ozone and tropospheric water vapor is shown to lead to enhanced hydroxyl (OH) radical concentrations compared with background tropospheric and stratospheric values. The oxidation of CO, methane, and higher hydrocarbons is correspondingly increased, and NOₓ is also lost more rapidly with faster mixing. Also, in low NOₓ conditions, the rate of O₃ loss is found to increase with faster mixing. The consequences of this anomalous chemistry for chemical transport in stratosphere–troposphere exchange events are discussed. It is also noted that if NOₓ levels in either parcel are very high initially, mixing of NOₓ can become more important than O₃ or H₂O in determining OH levels.

1. Introduction

Exchange of trace gases between the lowermost stratosphere and troposphere has always been regarded as a particularly important aspect of chemical transport in the atmosphere [e.g., Holton et al., 1995]. The complicated nature of the mixing processes involved in middle-latitude stratosphere–troposphere exchange raises many intriguing questions about their overall effect on atmospheric chemical distributions. There are several compelling reasons as to why sensitivity to details of mixing is likely to be important, and the focus
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of this paper is this relatively poorly understood aspect of stratosphere–troposphere exchange.

For a chemical system to admit the possibility of sensitivity to mixing, two generic features are necessary. The first is the existence of two regions with significant contrasts in their respective chemical composition and the second is a transport mechanism that leads to irreversible exchange and hence chemical mixing between air masses originating in the two regions. The situation considered in this paper is precisely of this type. The stratosphere and troposphere have significant contrasts in ozone (high in the former and low in the latter) and in water vapor (low in the former and high in the latter). Furthermore, there are frequent exchange events in which, for example, intrusions of stratospheric air entering the troposphere in tropopause folds [e.g., Appenzeller et al., 1996] or alternatively tropospheric intrusions enter the stratosphere.

Most such exchange events are thought to be initiated by quasi-isentropic deformation of the tropopause. The near-isentropic nature of the trajectories involved in such events clearly restricts the range of contrast-
ing chemical concentrations that can be rapidly brought into contact to those on nearby isentropic surfaces (see section 2 of this paper). This near-isentropic restriction may in turn constrain the extent of any anomalous chemistry that may arise through mixing between stratospheric and tropospheric air. However, one possible exception to this is the erosion of the lower stratosphere by convective mixing in the case of cloud tops penetrating across the tropopause [Ancellet et al., 1994]. Parcel trajectories in convective clouds are not subject to such isentropic restrictions, so this mechanism can potentially bring together, and mix rapidly, stratospheric air near the tropopause with air originating in the lower troposphere. However, the global importance of this mechanism for stratosphere-troposphere exchange has not yet been fully assessed.

Sensitivity to mixing is likely to be greatest when there is a wide range of chemical timescales and the mixing timescale falls within this range. Stratosphere-troposphere exchange processes have these properties: for example, the quasi-isentropic transport processes mentioned above are adiabatic motions on timescales of days and the associated mixing has dilution timescales of a few days [McIntyre, 1992; Holton et al., 1995; Mahlman, 1997]. Chemical timescales range upwards from a few minutes or less for short-lived radicals such as the hydroxyl radical OH, to days, weeks or longer for reservoir species, such as O₃ and CO. At fixed rates of photolysis, OH concentrations are largely determined by the concentrations of longer lived species, so mixing thus offers one mechanism for significant adjustment to the OH concentrations. Given the central role of OH in tropospheric chemistry [e.g., Ehhalt, 1988], such adjustment is likely to have significant implications for the chemistry of longer lived species, such as O₃ and hydrocarbons, and thus an impact on the chemistry climate system.

The regime where the mixing timescale falls within the range of chemical timescales is also problematical for numerical modeling. There are two extremes here: box models typically describe the evolution of isolated air parcels, often following a trajectory through space but without mixing between different boxes or with the surrounding background. Thus the mixing timescale in box models is essentially infinite rather than falling within the range of chemical timescales. One notable modeling exception is the work of Wild et al. [1996] who did consider mixing in a box model study of polluted marine boundary layer air. They noted that the effects of mixing could be more important than photochemical processes and emphasized the need for more detailed investigations of mixing. At the other extreme, grid-based models effectively mix too quickly whenever they cease to resolve small-scale tracer features, prematurely homogenizing them at the model grid scale [e.g., Thuburn and Tan, 1997; Tan et al., 1998, and references therein].

In this paper we use a two-box chemical model, augmented by a simple volume exchange model of mixing, to illustrate the sensitivity of chemical evolution when relatively dry, ozone-rich stratospheric air is mixed with moist, ozone-poor tropospheric air. In section 2 we discuss in more detail the chemical contrasts between stratospheric and tropospheric air, with an emphasis on the range of concentrations of O₃ and H₂O that can be brought together by stirring and mixing on each isentropic surface. Detailed forward and backward three-dimensional trajectory calculations are then used to illustrate the stirring of stratospheric and tropospheric air as a cutoff low is ejected from the stratosphere. The synoptic-scale event in question took place around the March 5, 1995, and was studied as part of the European Union Transport of Ozone and Stratosphere-Troposphere Exchange-B (TOASTE-B) project [Ancellet et al., 1996]. Trajectories originating in the stratosphere and troposphere are shown to converge around the cut-off low and, in some cases, remain together as the low is mixed into the troposphere. Such trajectories are selected as suitable for our idealized mixing experiments. In section 3 the box modeling experiments are described. The initial chemical concentrations are taken from the results of a three-dimensional, global, Eulerian chemical transport model experiment, run at relatively high resolution over the same period in March 1995. The box model is run along the stratospheric and tropospheric trajectories separately without mixing until the trajectories converge, after which time mixing proceeds at a fixed rate between the two boxes along a single trajectory. The results, and their sensitivity to different initial chemical concentrations and physical conditions, are discussed in section 4. Finally, in section 5 we present our conclusions.

2. Stirring of Stratospheric and Tropospheric Air

To gain some insight into the range in chemical contrasts that can be brought together by (near) isentropic mixing, and to motivate the idealized box-modeling experiments that follow, we have surveyed the range of cross-tropopause chemical contrasts in the TOMCAT chemical transport model [Stockwell and Chipperfield, 1999; Law et al., 1998] and made a detailed trajectory-based study of the stirring of a stratospheric intrusion into the troposphere.

Quasi-isentropic mixing events in the region of the extratropical tropopause have recently been categorized by Peters and Waugh [1996], based on the earlier work of Thorncroft et al. [1993]. They presented four different paradigms of baroclinic wave breaking events that can lead to irreversible transport between the stratosphere and troposphere (or vice versa), which they label LC1, LC2, P1, and P2. In an LC1-type event, a filament of stratospheric air is advected into the troposphere,
thinned out, and eventually mixed [Appenzeller and Davies, 1992; Thorncroft et al., 1993], although roll-up of the filament (or streamer) has also been observed [Appenzeller et al., 1996]. An LC2 event involves the formation of a cutoff cyclonic vortex of stratospheric air in the troposphere, which either returns to the stratosphere after a few days [Thorncroft et al., 1993] or is stirred and mixed into the troposphere [Price and Vaughan, 1993; Gouget et al., 2000]. A P1 event is a mirror image of an LC1-event, with a filament of tropospheric air entering the stratosphere, and in a P2 event a cutoff anticyclonic vortex of tropospheric air enters the stratosphere, where it either remains for a few days before returning to the troposphere [Peters and Waugh, 1996] or is stirred and mixed into the background stratospheric air [Vaughan and Timmis, 1998].

An important issue is how the type and frequency of these events varies in the vertical. Several authors [Chen, 1995; Eluskiewicz, 1996; Bithell and Gray, 1997; Haynes and Shuckburgh, 2000] have shown that the barrier to transport represented by the tropopause becomes more robust at higher levels (340-380 K). At these levels, where the tropopause is located in the subtropics, cross-tropopause mixing was found to be confined to a narrow region around the tropopause itself, and was also subject to a seasonal cycle with stronger exchange in the summer season. At lower levels (290-330 K) vigorous exchange takes place in all seasons, as synoptic-scale waves break continuously.

2.1. Chemical Contrasts Across the Tropopause

Figure 1 shows H$_2$O and O$_3$ taken from the TOMCAT model on March 5, 1995, with respect to equivalent latitude [e.g., Butchart and Remsberg, 1986] and potential temperature (see caption for details). The tropopause is indicated schematically by the 100 ppbv O$_3$ contour. The advantage of presenting the data in this way is that one can easily see the range of H$_2$O and O$_3$ mixing ratios of the different air masses that can be brought together by isentropic mixing across the tropopause. The direction of isentropic mixing is illustrated by the two-way arrows. The use of equivalent latitude also ensures that these plots are largely independent of instantaneous meteorological conditions. It is clear that, as potential temperature increases, the contrast in O$_3$ mixing ratios across the tropopause increases, but the contrast in water vapor decreases. This is due to low temperatures in the tropical upper troposphere reducing the saturation mixing ratio. At higher levels there are also very large contrast in O$_3$ levels within the stratosphere itself. It appears unlikely that very high values of O$_3$ (>1000 ppbv) are often transported into the troposphere by the weaker isentropic stirring at these levels.

Other species also have significant isentropic gradients across the tropopause in TOMCAT. Above 320 K, NO$_2$ concentrations are significantly higher in the stratosphere (0.1-0.5 ppbv) than the unpolluted troposphere (<0.1 ppbv). Below 320 K the contrast across the tropopause is less significant but, particularly on those isentropic surfaces which intersect the ground (300 K and below), plumes of very high NO$_2$ that originate in the boundary layer exist intermittently in the troposphere [Brunner et al., 1998]. Cross-tropopause gradients in carbon monoxide are relatively weak, with concentrations lower in the stratosphere than the troposphere, and concentrations decreasing with increasing potential temperature.

2.2. A Case Study: TOASTE-B in March 1995

In order to gain some insight into the stirring of a synoptic-scale air mass during a particular stratosphere-troposphere exchange (STE) event, an ensemble of three-dimensional forwards and backwards trajectories was initialized at the location of a typical stratospheric intrusion into the troposphere (see Aucelle et al. [1996] for a description of the event in question). The 31 x 19 trajectories were initialized on March 5, 1995, on the 300 K isentrope in a region over central and southern Europe marked by the black box in Plate 1c, and were advected forwards and backwards [Methven, 1997] by European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) analyzed winds at T106 resolution (equivalent to a grid resolution of approximately 1.25° x 1.25°), for 5 days. Plates 1a and 1b, and 1f and 1g, show the calculated parcel positions superimposed on contour plots of potential vorticity (PV hereafter) on the 300 K isentropic surface, on February 28 and March 2, 7 and 10 respectively. The parcels are colored according to their PV on February 28, with red parcels (defined as having stratospheric origin) denoting values greater than 3 PVU (1 potential vorticity unit (PVU) = 10$^{-9}$K m$^2$ kg$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$), yellow parcels (tropopause origin) 1-3 PVU and black parcels (tropospheric origin) less than 1 PVU. Note that as parcels do not remain exactly on the 300 K surface, the PV of each parcel does not correspond exactly with the PV field contoured in Plate 1a. The back trajectories are reasonably close to being isentropic, with 80% originating between 286.5 K and 310.2 K on the February 28.

The origin of the air in the intrusion, as defined by its PV 5 days previously, can be seen in Plate 1d. In this panel we are effectively using the reverse-domain-filling technique [Sutton et al., 1994] to create a high-resolution picture of the intrusion. The panel shows an enlargement of the region of initialization shown in Plate 1c. Significantly, this picture shows that most of the air in the vortex at this level has a clear stratospheric signature, with only a thin layer around its edge containing air of tropopause origin. This contrasts with the impression given by certain contour advection calculations [e.g., Appenzeller et al., 1996] which often suggest that large proportions of the air in some intrusions
Figure 1. Contour plots of (top) log₁₀ water vapor mixing ratio and (bottom) ozone mixing ratio in TOMCAT (both in ppbv). A schematic tropopause is marked at 100 ppbv ozone, and two-way arrows show the air masses brought together by cross-tropopause isentropic mixing. Contour intervals are 0.5 for the plot of log₁₀ [H₂O] (H₂O mixing ratios are in ppbv, note that TOMCAT H₂O is interpolated from ECMWF analyses) and 50 ppbv (solid curves) in the case of O₃. Data are plotted against equivalent latitude and potential temperature. (Equivalent latitude on a given isentrope is defined in this case as a function of O₃ (or H₂O) concentration. For a given O₃ (H₂O) concentration it is defined to be equal to that latitude which encloses an area equal to the area of fluid on the surface with a greater O₃ (lower H₂O) concentration. Black regions indicate areas where the isentropic surface has intersected the ground.)

originates from the tropopause region, rather than the interior of the stratosphere.

The eventual fate of the intrusion is illustrated in Plates 1e-1g. It is quickly detached from the stratosphere on this level, and 2 days later on March 7, most of it remains as a coherent vortex, with a long filament attached to its northernmost edge. By March 10 the filament has been mixed across the troposphere, with some parcels even reentering the stratosphere. The rest of the vortex has been stretched into two filaments, marked by the two boxes in Plate 1g. The final positions of the air parcels in the cutoff low are shown in Plate 1e (dark blue parcels end up in the filament within the left box, green parcels end up in the right box).

It is clear from these forward trajectory calculations that a wide range of timescales are relevant to the mixing of the fluid in typical stratosphere–troposphere exchange events such as this one. Over just 2 days, strong stretching of the upper section of the intrusion produces a horizontal scale less than the initial parcel separation distance (≈ 15 km), visible in Plate 1f (March 7). By contrast, over 5 days much weaker stretching has been acting on the larger of the two filaments evident in Plate 1g (March 10). In places the grouping of the parcels suggest that this filament still
Plate 1. Contour plots of PV on the 300 K isentrope on (a) February 28, 1995, (b) March 2, (c) March 5, (f) March 7, (g) March 10. Color intervals are at every 1 PVU. Superimposed are trajectory positions at these times. Red parcels indicate initial PV on February 28 > 3 PVU, yellow parcels between 1 and 3 PVU, and black < 1 PVU. (d) A close-up of the box in Plate 1c showing the initial PV (on February 28) of the parcels in the stratospheric intrusion on March 5 (the time when the trajectories were started). (e) Related to final parcel positions on March 10, see text.
Plate 2. Plots showing (a) OH and (b) HO₂ concentrations along the realistic trajectory in the two-box model experiments: blue curve, Box T; red curve, Box S; green curve, Box M; black curve, average Box T/Box S. Broken green curves indicate the results when mixing takes place at a finite rate between Box T and Box S. (Results shown are the average between the two boxes.) Long dashes denote $R = 1$ day$^{-1}$, short dashes $R = 0.2$ day$^{-1}$, and dots $R = 0.05$ day$^{-1}$.) Note that time is measured from 00Z on March 5 (i.e., it is time at 0° longitude).

Plate 3. As Plate 2, but showing concentrations calculated along the idealized trajectory. In this case; blue solid curve, Box T; red solid curve, Box S; green solid curve, Box M; dashed green curve, Box A; dotted green curve, Box B; dashed blue curve, Box C; dotted red curve, Box D. Time in this case is measured from 12Z on March 5. Note that in this case the box position is fixed at 0° longitude.
has a horizontal scale of around 50-100 km. Based on calculations for the stratosphere, and assuming a diffusivity of $10^{-2}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$, the horizontal length scale at which filaments are likely to have a vertical length scale sufficiently small for diffusion to act is around 13 km [Haynes and Anglade, 1997]. The equivalent calculation for the upper troposphere–lower stratosphere region has not yet been attempted.

3. Description of Experiments

3.1. Chemical Box Model With Mixing

We adapt the approach of Tan et al. [1998] by employing a reaction scheme suitable for the lower stratosphere and upper troposphere. The photochemistry scheme consists of reactions of ozone and oxides of nitrogen together with methane and hydrocarbon oxidation schemes [Wild et al., 1996; Evans et al., 2000]. No explicit halogen chemistry is included. The numerical time step is set to 5 minutes.

The volume-exchange model of mixing is as follows. We consider two boxes, A and B say, to represent air parcels whose volumes are in the ratio

$$\kappa = \text{volume}(B)/\text{volume}(A).$$

Both boxes are assumed to be well mixed, and we denote by $\chi^A(t)$ and $\chi^B(t)$ the mixing ratio at time $t$ in boxes A and B, respectively, of a chemical species $\chi$. An equal volume is exchanged between boxes A and B at a constant rate $R$, where by convention $R$ is taken to have units of fraction of box B per unit time. Within each modified box the mixing ratio of each chemical species is assumed to achieve spatial homogeneity on a timescale much shorter than the photochemical timescales, so that each box remains well mixed. The mixing ratios $\chi^A(t)$ and $\chi^B(t)$ therefore evolve according to

$$\frac{d\chi^A}{dt} = \sigma^A - \kappa R(\chi^A - \chi^B),$$

$$\frac{d\chi^B}{dt} = \sigma^B + R(\chi^A - \chi^B),$$

where $\sigma(t)$ denotes the net photochemical source of $\chi$ per unit volume (the production minus the loss) and the superscripts A and B refer to the box being considered. A passive tracer (one for which $\sigma^A = \sigma^B = 0$) homogenizes with an e-folding dilution timescale of $(1 + \kappa R)^{-1}$.

3.2. Trajectory Selection and Chemical Initialization

Figure 2 shows two 10-day trajectories selected from the ensemble described above. Of these, the trajectory marked by squares starts in the stratosphere and that marked by diamonds in the troposphere. The two trajectories clearly come together, in both the horizontal and in the vertical, on the edge of the stratospheric intrusion around 00Z on March 5, 1995 (when they are separated by about 15 km), and remain close together for the next 5 days. This makes them ideal candidates for a trajectory-based mixing experiment.

The chemical boxes are initialized with TOMCAT concentrations at the locations of these trajectories on February 28, 1995. Detailed comparison of results from

Figure 2. Showing the paths of two trajectories, one beginning in the troposphere (diamonds) and the other the stratosphere (squares) that converge in the intrusion on March 5 and remain together.
TOMCAT calculations and in-flight observations have been made [Lau et al., 1998, 2000] and the discussion in these papers cast light on many of the possible problems associated with using TOMCAT and other chemical transport model results as a proxy for observations. These problems include the "smearing" of tropopause gradients due to diffusion associated with the model advection scheme. It is worth noting that for our study we have used a high-resolution version of TOMCAT and have chosen trajectories originating from well within the troposphere and stratosphere to minimize this problem.

The TOMCAT experiment was spun-up for several months at T21 resolution (corresponding to a grid of approximately $5.5^\circ \times 5.5^\circ$), then reinitialized at T42 ($2.5^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$) resolution on February 22, and run forward to March 10. Figure 3 shows TOMCAT ozone and H$_2$O interpolated to the 300 K surface for February 28, along with the origin of the stratospheric (triangle) and tropospheric (square) trajectories. It is clear that despite the much lower resolution of the TOMCAT experiment compared to the ECMWF analyzed winds (T42 as compared with T106), the ozone and H$_2$O fields in the chemical transport model are well correlated with the ECMWF PV (Plate 1a). The tropopause, distinguished by strong O$_3$ and H$_2$O gradients, is visible in both fields and is also well aligned with the strong gradients in PV in the ECMWF analysis (although the latter is considerably sharper due to lower numerical diffusion in the high resolution analysis). Table 1 shows the initial chemical concentrations of the parcels at the beginning of their trajectories on February 28, 1995 (see figures in parentheses). Comparison with Figure 3 reveals that the stratospheric and tropospheric H$_2$O and O$_3$ concentrations chosen are quite typical of the concentrations at the 300 K isentropic level.

4. Box-Modeling Results

4.1. Sensitivity to Mixing Rate Along a Realistic Trajectory

The two boxes were integrated along the trajectories shown in Figure 2 from 21Z on February 28 to 00Z on March 5 without mixing, during which time the chemical composition changed as shown in Table 1 (see columns for boxes T and S). From 00Z on March 5 to 00Z on March 10, the two boxes were then integrated along the same trajectory, with a range of mixing rates $R$ given by 0, 0.05 day$^{-1}$, 0.2 day$^{-1}$, 1 day$^{-1}$ and $\infty$ (i.e., instantaneous mixing, achieved by initializing the boxes with the concentrations in the Box M (mixed) column of Table 1). For simplicity the boxes are assumed to have equal size ($\kappa=1$).

We look first in Plate 2 at the effect of mixing on the concentrations of the hydroxyl (OH) and hydroperoxy radicals (HO$_2$). OH in particular is an extremely important atmospheric oxidant, and if mixing can play a role in determining the OH abundance, this would represent a very important chemistry-mixing interaction. Such a possibility was first suggested by Bam-ber et al. [1984]. Indeed, we find that mixing has an
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**Figure 3.** A contour plot showing TOMCAT ozone and log$_{10}$ [H$_2$O] on the 300 K isentrope on the February 28, 1995. Contour intervals are 40 ppbv in the O$_3$ plot and 0.5 in the log$_{10}$ [H$_2$O] plot, where H$_2$O concentrations are also in ppbv. The solid square and solid diamond show the locations of the beginning of the stratospheric and tropospheric trajectories, respectively.
important influence. For example, the instantaneous mixing case (green curve) has higher OH levels than either the stratospheric (red curve) or tropospheric (blue curve) unmixed boxes, and around twice the OH level of the average of the unmixed stratospheric / tropospheric boxes (black curve). The details of the mixing are also found to be important for the chemistry. The fast-mixing \( (R=1 \text{ day}^{-1}) \) curve (green - long dashes) converges very rapidly from the average (black) curve to the instantaneous mixing (green) curve, while the medium-mixing rate curve (green - short dashes, \( R=0.2 \text{ day}^{-1} \)) converges more slowly from the black to the green solid curve. In the case of slow mixing (green dotted curve, \( R=0.05 \text{ day}^{-1} \)) the OH levels are only beginning to converge toward the mixed case levels near the end of the 5-day integration. The mixing ratio of HO\(_2\) in the instant mixing case is slightly less than the tropospheric level but is greater than the unmixed stratospheric level and the stratosphere/troposphere average.

To understand these results, it is necessary to understand how HO\(_x\) [=OH+HO\(_2\)] levels depend on the concentrations of the other longer lived species in the boxes. As HO\(_2\) has a short lifetime in the upper troposphere-lower stratosphere region, of order minutes to a few hours, it is useful to regard it as being in a photochemical steady state, with photochemical production equal to chemical loss. Several authors [Herrick et al., 1991; Poppe et al., 1995] have used this approach to derive steady state expressions for OH and HO\(_2\) in simplified chemical models. Photochemical production of HO\(_x\) occurs predominantly through the sequence

\[
\text{O}_3 + h\nu \rightarrow O(^1D) + O_2,
\]

\[
O(^1D) + H_2O \rightarrow 2O_H,
\]

as well as by HO\(_2\) production in the photolysis of formaldehyde (HCHO), acetone and higher hydrocarbon oxidation products. Loss of HO\(_2\) occurs mainly through nitric acid formation, and the self-reaction of HO\(_2\) to form hydrogen peroxide,

\[
\text{(R3)} \quad \text{OH + NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{HNO}_3,
\]

\[
\text{(R4)} \quad \text{HO}_2 + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2.
\]

Total HO\(_2\) levels are controlled largely by the relative magnitude of the sources and sinks above, but the OH/\text{HO}_2 ratio is largely determined by the interconversion reactions, which include

\[
\text{(R5)} \quad \text{OH + CO + O}_2 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{CO}_2,
\]

\[
\text{(R6)} \quad \text{OH + O}_3 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{O}_2,
\]

\[
\text{(R7)} \quad \text{HO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}_2,
\]

\[
\text{(R8)} \quad \text{HO}_2 + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{OH} + 2\text{O}_2.
\]

(However, the relative magnitudes of the \text{HO}_x sources and sinks, including R1-R4, have a weak secondary influence on the OH/\text{HO}_2 ratio.) Other important, indirect, conversions from OH to HO\(_2\) take place when methane and higher hydrocarbons are oxidized by OH, forming higher peroxy radicals RO\(_2\), which can lead to HO\(_2\) production in the presence of O\(_3\) or NO.

A direct consequence of mixing in the experiments is the increased production of OH in (R1) and (R2). It is relatively clear how mixing can increase OH levels through these reactions. Ozone photolysis takes place in the stratospheric air, but without mixing the stratospheric air parcel has low water vapor and the excited oxygen is likely to be quenched to its ground state O\(^3P\) before OH formation can take place in (R2). By contrast, the tropospheric parcel in the absence of mixing has high water vapor but a lower level of O\(^1D\). Mixing brings together relatively high concentrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. The Initial Mixing Ratios for All the Experiments.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Species</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(_3), ppbv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(_2)O, ppmv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH(_4), ppmv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO(_2), ppmv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO(_2), pptv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(_2)O(_2), pptv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCHO, pptv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN, pptv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNO(_3), pptv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(_2)H(_6), ppbv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(_3)H(_8), ppbv</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The initial mixing ratios for Boxes T and S (on March 5, 1995) are the result of box model integrations without mixing along the parcel trajectories shown in Figure 3 from February 28, 1995 to March 5, 1995. These box model integrations were initialized on February 28, 1995 with the TOMCAT concentrations at the relevant parcel positions, which are shown alongside in parentheses. Box M is the mean of these stratospheric (Box S) and tropospheric (Box T) concentrations. In Boxes A and B, mean values of ozone and water vapor are combined with tropospheric and stratospheric concentrations of the remaining species, respectively. In Boxes C and D tropospheric and stratospheric values of ozone and water vapor, respectively, are combined with mean concentrations of the remaining species.
of O₃, and hence O(1D), and H₂O which are then able to react leading to an increase in HO₂ levels.

Increasing O₃ in the tropospheric box by mixing has the further effect of increasing the OH/HO₂ ratio by increasing HO₂ conversion in (R8). This additional reaction is the reason for the nonlinear dependence of OH on O₃ concentration (in low NOₓ conditions) discussed as a limiting case by Poppe et al. [1995]. At relatively low concentrations of H₂O, such as those in the upper troposphere–lower stratosphere, steady state OH levels increase linearly with respect to H₂O concentration.

In Plate 2b, HO₂ levels, and also total HO₂ levels, are seen to be higher in the tropospheric box than the mixed box. This is because the source of HO₂ due to compounds including HCHO and higher hydrocarbon oxidation products is stronger in the tropospheric box. Mean HO₂ levels in the boxes with finite mixing rates are again found to converge toward the levels in the instantaneous mixing case.

Increased levels of OH naturally mean increased oxidation rates for a large number of species, notably methane, CO and higher hydrocarbons. Species associated with methane oxidation (CH₃O₂, CH₃NO₂, CH₃O, HCHO, CH₂OOH, CH₂OH, CH₃₂NO₂) all increase when the mixing rate increases, consistent with this description. In the low NOₓ conditions of the control run, O₃ concentrations decrease over the length of the trajectory calculations. Figure 4 shows how the total decline in O₃ and the oxidation of CO, C₂H₆, and C₃H₈ over the 5 days, given as percentage total loss, are affected by mixing rate along the trajectories considered above (curves with diamonds). The total decrease of each species is increased by around a factor of 2 between zero and instantaneous mixing. The amount of O₃ loss is particularly sensitive to the mixing rate, as it is lost due to reaction with both the hydroperoxy radical HO₂ in (R8) and by destruction by photolysis in (R1), leading to OH production. By contrast, in the higher NOₓ conditions discussed below, the oxidation of NO to NO₂ by HO₂ in (R7), and the subsequent photolysis of NO₂ leads to O₃ production.

Figure 5 shows the effect of mixing rate on the evolution of NOₓ [=NO + NO₂] concentrations (averaged between the two boxes). The concentrations of NOₓ taken from TOMCAT for this experiment are arguably fairly low, but even at these levels of NOₓ, (R7) is important in the HO₂ budget. The total reduction of NOₓ is found to increase with mixing rate, because higher average OH levels lead to increased nitric acid formation in (R3). The same sensitivity of NOₓ loss to mixing was also found in the higher NOₓ calculations described in section 4.4.
4.2. Sensitivity to Trajectory Details

To verify that the above results are independent of details of the trajectory chosen, and are also independent of the photolysis conditions associated with the season (early March for the case above), we have carried out variations on the experiment described in section 4.1. First, instead of an actual calculated trajectory we have chosen an idealized, fixed trajectory at pressure 400 mb., temperature 245 K, latitude 51°N and longitude 0°, running from March 5 to 10 as above. Second, the trajectory procedure described above was repeated for a STE event observed during the TOASTE-C project from June 16 to 26, 1996 [Gouget et al., 2000], when an initialization based on June TOMCAT chemical concentrations was also used. HO$_2$ levels along both of these trajectories (not shown for June case, see Plate 3 for idealized case) were found to have the same qualitative dependence on mixing rate as the experiments described in section 4.1.

Figure 4 also shows the dependence of O$_3$, CO, C$_2$H$_6$, and C$_3$H$_8$ decline on the mixing rate between the boxes for these experiments. The idealized trajectory results are plotted as squares, and the June 1996 results are plotted as crosses. The chemical loss of each species in June is found to be around 10 times that in the March cases, due to increased photolysis rates. However, the qualitative dependence on mixing rate is otherwise almost exactly as described above.

4.3. Sensitivity to Constituents Mixed

To test the hypothesis that it is mixing between water vapor and ozone alone that is significant in setting the HO$_2$ levels in the above experiments, we performed further tests. The box model (without mixing) was integrated along the idealized trajectory with the initial concentrations set in turn to those described as Boxes A, B, C, and D shown in Table 1. In Boxes A and B, O$_3$ and H$_2$O are at “mixed” concentrations, and the other concentrations are tropospheric and stratospheric, respectively. In Boxes C and D the other chemical species are mixed, and O$_3$ and H$_2$O have tropospheric concentrations (Box C) and stratospheric concentrations (Box D), respectively. If HO$_2$ levels are determined by O$_3$ and H$_2$O concentrations alone in our experiments, then Boxes A and B should have HO$_2$ levels comparable with Box M, Box C with Box T, and Box D with Box S. Plate 3 shows the results of these runs, and this is largely what is found. It is notabe that Box D has considerably higher HO$_2$ levels compared with Box S, as alternative sources of HO$_2$ are available due to the higher hydrocarbon and HCHO concentrations.

These results do not mean that HO$_2$ levels are insensitive to the concentrations of species other than water vapor and ozone. It means instead that the contrasts across the tropopause of the other species are not sufficiently strong at this level for mixing between them to have a great effect on HO$_2$ levels. Nonisentropic STE
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**Figure 5.** Curves showing the evolution of total NO$_x$ in the boxes, in the experiments with different mixing rates. The light solid curve shows average NO$_x$ in the boxes in the case with no mixing, the dotted curve that with $R = 0.05$ day$^{-1}$, short dashes $R = 0.2$ day$^{-1}$, long dashes $R = 1$ day$^{-1}$, and the thick solid curve the instantaneous mixing case.
events, such as mixing through deep convection, have the potential to bring together air parcels with more widely differing concentrations of hydrocarbons, CO, and NO$_{_2}$. The special case of NO$_{_2}$ is discussed next.

4.4. Sensitivity to NO$_{_2}$ Levels

Up to this point we have considered the mixing of stratospheric air and relatively unpolluted upper tropospheric air with low-NO$_{_2}$ concentrations. However, observations [Brunner et al., 1998] indicate that large plumes of high-NO$_{_2}$ (≳0.5 ppbv) air are observable intermittently in the middle troposphere on certain isentropic levels. In addition, the initial NO$_{_2}$ levels in boxes T and S are arguably rather low for the upper troposphere–lower stratosphere region [Hauglustaine et al., 1996], because photochemical models including TOMCAT typically underestimate NO$_{_2}$ levels in this region. To test the sensitivity of the box model behavior to NO$_{_2}$ levels, further experiments were performed along the idealized trajectory with initial conditions set to those in Box T, apart from the NO$_{_2}$ levels which were set to 10 times (Box T$^*$ - 48 parts per trillion by volume (pptv) NO$_{_2}$) and 100 times (Box T$^{**}$ - 480 pptv NO$_{_2}$) those in Box T, respectively. Experiments with Box M$^*$ (as Box M but with 29.2 pptv NO$_{_2}$) and Box M$^{**}$ (245 pptv NO$_{_2}$) were also performed to test the sensitivity to instantaneous mixing between Box T$^*$ and Box S as well as Box T$^{**}$ and Box S respectively. The resulting HO$_{_2}$ levels for these experiments are shown in Plate 4.

Comparing the 10× NO$_{_2}$ experiments (dashed curves) and the control experiments (solid curves), OH levels are enhanced in each box in the former, and this can be explained by an increase in the ratio of OH to HO$_{_2}$ due to enhanced conversion in (R7). By contrast, HO$_{_2}$ levels (and total HO$_{_2}$ levels) are close to unchanged. The qualitative sensitivity to mixing in this case is unchanged, and Box M$^*$ has around 5% higher OH than Box T$^*$, and around 3 times that of Box S. At this level of NO$_{_2}$ it is notable that ozone production due to the oxidation of NO in (R7) and subsequent photolysis of NO$_{_2}$ dominates over loss in (R1) and (R8).

The situation in the case of the 100× NO$_{_2}$ experiments (dotted curves) is somewhat different. As NO$_{_2}$ increases, the sink of HO$_{_2}$ in (R3) becomes more important than that in (R4), and HO$_{_2}$ levels become inversely proportional to NO$_{_2}$ levels [Poppe et al., 1995]. However, the OH/HO$_{_2}$ ratio is further increased due to the greater importance of (R7), and Box T$^{**}$ has higher OH compared with Box T$^*$, despite having lower total HO$_{_2}$. Nighttime HO$_{_2}$ levels are significant in Box T$^{**}$ (over 1

---

**Plate 4.** As Plate 2, but showing concentrations in the enhanced NO$_{_2}$ experiments (calculations along the idealized trajectory). Solid curves show standard NO$_{_2}$ levels (blue curve, Box T; red curve, Box S; green curve, Box M). Dashed curves indicate experiments with 10× tropospheric NO$_{_2}$ levels (blue curve, Box T$^*$; green curve, Box M$^*$), dotted curves indicate 100× tropospheric NO$_{_2}$ levels (blue curve, Box T$^{**}$; green curve, Box M$^{**}$).
\( \times 10^7 \text{ mole/cm}^3 \), with the largest source in our model due to the breakdown of HO\(_2\)NO\(_2\).

When Box T** is mixed with Box S, the reduction in OH levels due to the dilution of the NO\(_x\) present in Box T** dominates over the additional source of HO\(_x\) in (R1)-(R2) due to mixing of O\(_3\) and H\(_2\)O. This results in Box M** having lower OH concentrations compared with Box T**. Clearly, once tropospheric NO\(_x\) concentrations reach the order of 0.5 ppbv as in this case, then mixing of NO\(_x\) becomes more important in controlling the chemistry during an STE event.

5. Summary and Conclusions

In this paper we have considered the chemical consequences of mixing stratospheric and tropospheric air. Substantial contrasts in mixing ratios of both ozone and water vapor across the tropopause on the 300-340 K isentropic surfaces were identified in the TOMCAT chemical transport model. Quasi-isentropic, cross-tropopause stirring at these levels can therefore bring air parcels with substantially different chemical properties together. Above 340 K the saturation mixing ratio in the tropical upper troposphere is sufficiently low, due to the cold temperatures in this region, that the cross-tropopause gradient in water vapor is much reduced. Isentropic surfaces close to 300 K, by contrast, tend to have a high cross-tropopause gradient in water vapor but a lower gradient in ozone. Isentropic surfaces much below 300 K do not cross the tropopause at all.

A stratosphere–troposphere exchange event from the March 5, 1995 was used as a case study of the stirring of a stratospheric intrusion into the troposphere. Back trajectories were used in conjunction with chemical constituent concentrations calculated in TOMCAT in order to establish the chemical contrasts between typical air parcels in the intrusion and the background air before the onset of mixing. Idealized two-box model experiments were then used to test the sensitivity of the chemical evolution of the stratospheric and tropospheric air parcels to mixing between them. The main conclusion is that mixed air with intermediate H\(_2\)O and O\(_3\) concentrations generate higher concentrations of the short-lived hydroxyl (OH) radical than either tropospheric or stratospheric air alone, a possibility first suggested by Bamber et al. [1984]. This result was shown to be independent of details of the season or trajectory chosen, and it was confirmed that it was the mixing of O\(_3\) and H\(_2\)O, rather than any other species, that was most important in generating the enhanced OH levels.

This picture is summarized in Figure 6. This shows peak daily OH concentrations calculated as a function
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Figure 6. Contour plot of peak daily OH levels calculated as a function of O\(_3\) and H\(_2\)O from a series of box model calculations. All other concentrations are as in Box M, and physical parameters are as for the idealized trajectory. The contour interval is 1\(\times\)10\(^3\) (number density). See text for details of points plotted and mixing lines.
of O₃ and H₂O concentrations. The OH concentrations are derived from a series of box model calculations with O₃ and H₂O concentrations imposed as initial values. All other chemical concentrations are initially set equal to those in Box M. Physical conditions are as for the idealized trajectory, and each integration is run forward from sunrise for 12 hours. Superimposed on this plot are instantaneous concentrations of O₃ and H₂O taken from TOMCAT on March 5, 1995. Triangles denote concentrations on the 300 K surface, crosses on the 320 K surface and stars on the 340 K surface. The instantaneous samples from TOMCAT are clearly divided into tropospheric (low O₃, high H₂O) and stratospheric (high O₃, low H₂O). Also plotted on the diagram are examples of "mixing lines" [e.g., Plumb and Ko, 1992] showing the O₃ and H₂O concentrations attainable as typical stratospheric and tropospheric parcels are mixed rapidly, that is, on timescales much shorter than the chemical timescales of these species. Rapid mixing between air parcels corresponding to separate points in this diagram leads to concentrations that lie on the straight lines connecting them. The mixing lines indicate this. The contours give an approximate picture of the enhanced OH concentrations attainable in mixed air parcels lying along the straight lines. At levels higher than the 340 K surface, the contrast between tropospheric and stratospheric H₂O concentrations was found to be sufficiently low that mixing does not lead to enhanced OH concentrations. In Figure 6, a typical mixing line for the 350 K surface or higher would lie close to the x-axis. At these higher levels, alternative sources of HO₂, such as the photolysis of formaldehyde and acetone, are more important than the source from O₃ and H₂O. The sensitivity of the chemistry to mixing will depend on the existence of cross-tropopause gradients of either HCHO, acetone, or NO₂ as well as O₃, rather than H₂O. (The photolysis of acetone (CH₃)₂CO, which has been recently shown to have an important role as a source of HO₂ in dry conditions [e.g., Wennberg et al., 1998], is neglected in our chemistry scheme.) These regimes will be explored in future work.

Our results suggest that the importance of the mixing rate between stratospheric and tropospheric air is in setting the timescale on which the enhanced OH levels are attained. This in turn determines the rate of oxidation of CO, hydrocarbons and the rate of change of O₃. It may also affect halogenated hydrocarbons such as CHBr₃ and CH₂Br₂, which are also oxidized by OH. These have been recently shown [Duortsov et al., 1999] to have a potentially important role in mid-latitude, lower stratospheric O₃ depletion.

An important issue not explored here is the question of how long a typical air parcel traveling between stratosphere and troposphere actually remains in a "mixed" state, i.e. with O₃ and H₂O concentrations intermediate between tropospheric and stratospheric, before being diluted to background levels. This timescale is important, as while the parcel is in a mixed state, the chemistry associated with enhanced OH concentrations will have an impact on the chemical species transported in the parcel. This question cannot be answered by global chemical transport models alone, as implicit or explicit artificial diffusion associated with finite numerical resolution is likely to dilute such a parcel much too quickly. It is difficult to assess the global impact of the anomalous chemistry associated with "mixed" air that we have described here without a more thorough understanding of the dilution of air parcels involved in STE events.

The case study investigated here involved mixing of stratospheric air and unpolluted upper troposphere air with relatively low NOₓ concentrations. Experiments to test the sensitivity to tropospheric NOₓ levels were performed to test the range of validity of our results. Our results (Plate 4a), as well as those of others [Kley, 1997], indicate that at elevated concentrations of NO₂, OH levels are controlled by the amount of NOₓ, rather than O₃ and H₂O. Sources of high-NOₓ concentrations include lightning and aircraft emissions, as well as transport from the polluted boundary layer. The high NOₓ plumes noted by Brunner et al. [1998] may arise from such transport due to frontal conveyor belts. A priority of future work is to investigate the sensitivity of the chemistry in such frontal conveyor belts to the dilution of NOₓ-rich air, including the effects of other pollutants such as hydrocarbons and enhanced CO.
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